

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,395	SVETLIK, HARVEY E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aaron M Dunwoody	3679	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Aaron M Dunwoody.

(3) ____

 (2) Charles D Gunter.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 28 February 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3

Prior art documents discussed:

US patent 3910610, Turner; US patent 2239307, Weber; and United Kingdom patent GB 831294, Harvey

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 3 in view of references above to place the instant application into condition for allowance. Also, claims 5 and 6 were to be canceled by examiner's amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)